Food for Thought

Starting Points for Discussion at the Consolidated Planning Forum

Columbia, Missouri February 21, 2002

- 1. Strategic Plan, Annual Action, Plan and CAPER: Are they effective planning tools for program implementation? What are their strengths? Weaknesses?
- 2. Streamlining the process: Tables vs. narratives...which are more effective for grantees, citizens, and HUD staff?
- 3. Coordination with other plans and partners: Thoughts from those who help to write the plan, implement the plan, receive the funds, evaluate the process, as well as the citizen participation process.
- 4. Access to data to be used in the plan.
- 5. Housing and homeless needs analysis.
- 6. Housing market analysis.
- 7. Community Development analysis.
- 8. Economic Development analysis/anti-poverty strategy/relationship to EZ/EC initiative and planning process.
- 9. Section 3.
- 10. The relationship to the Public Housing Authority and the issue of "troubled" PHAs.
- 11. The relationship of the Consolidated Plan to the Continuum of Care initiative.
- 12. Does the current process allow for linkages from needs to objectives to actions? How hard is it to illustrate why a particular strategy is being pursued?
- 13. How can the process result in more measurable results during the action phase?
- 14. How useful has Community 2020 been as an analytical tool for preparing the Consolidated Plan, from both the mapping and the plan submission angles?
- 15. The relationship of C2020 and IDIS.
- 16. IDIS.
- 17. Certifications.
- 18. The Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing.
- 19. Public notices and public hearings on the Consolidated Plan.
- 20. Other topics will be discussed as attendees determine.